





#### Autonomous Object Recognition System for Shared Autonomy Control of an Assistive Robotic Arm

SUPERVISOR: ALMAS SHINTEMIROV ANTON KIM ASKARBEK PAZYLBEKOV SANZHAR RAKHIMKUL

# Outline



Introduction, Problem Statement









Conclusion and Future work

Object Recognition and Autonomous grasping

Manual Control

mode

#### 1 billion people have special needs (WHO)



#### 300 million people possess severe disabilities

More old people  $\rightarrow$  more people with special needs

# 4.6% of men and 3.4% of women are suffering from disabilities

5.0% 4.0% 3.0% 2.0% 1.0% 0.0% Self-care Total Seeing Mobility Orienta... Control... Workin... Hearing Comm... Learnin... Playing... Female Male Female Male Female Male remale Male Lemale Male Female Male cemale Male cernale Male cernale Male cernale Male cernale Male

Figure 1. United Nation Disability Statistics (2018) for Kazakhstan

**Disability - by type** 

#### Solution - autonomous assistive robots



6 DOF Weight: 5.2 kg Payload: 1.6 kg Wrist angle: 60° **Power consumption:** 25W Available at NU facilities

# **Background Research.** Joystick Control

- Intuitive adaptive orientation control proposed by Vu et al. (2017)
- "...the default control of the endeffector (hand) orientation has been reported as not intuitive and difficult to understand and thus, poorly suited for human-robot interaction"
- Proposed control algorithm is not suitable since ordinary gamepad is used

2017 International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics (ICORR) QEII Centre, London, UK, July 17-20, 2017.

Intuitive adaptive orientation control of assistive robots for people living with upper limb disabilities

> Dinh-Son Vu\*1, Ulysse Côté Allard\*2, Clément Gosselin1.3. Francois Routhier<sup>3,4</sup>, Benoit Gosselin<sup>2,3</sup>, Alexandre Campeau-Lecours<sup>1,3</sup>

> > the

and

real

let

Abstract-Robotic assistive devices enhance the autonomy of individuals living with physical disabilities in their day-to-day life. Although the first priority for such devices is safety, they must also be intuitive and efficient from an engineering point of view in order to be adopted by a broad range of users. This is especially true for assistive robotic arms, as they are used for the complex control tasks of daily living. One challenge in the control of such assistive robots is the management of the end-effector orientation which is not always intuitive for the human operator, especially for neophytes.

This paper presents a novel orientation control algorithm designed for robotic arms in the context of human-robot interaction. This work aims at making the control of the robot's orientation easier and more intuitive for the user, in particular, individuals living with upper limb disabilities. The performance and intuitiveness of the proposed orientation control algorithm is assessed through two experiments with 25 able-bodied subjects and shown to significantly improve on both aspects.

I. INTRODUCTION

on a day-to-day basis. However, controlling such a complex assistive device is not straightforward, especially for people living with physical limitations. In telerobotics [7, 8], the user interface, the mapping of the commands to control the robot, and the user perspective of the robot movement may affect the final task accomplishment. In the instances where



Fig 3. Control map proposed by Vu et al.

# **Background Research. Object Detection**

- SNIPER state-of-art 2D object detection system, however, is very slow (Singh et al. (2018))
- DOPE state-of-art 3D object detection model (Tremblay et al. (2018)), small dataset
- YOLOv3 most popular object detection algorithm proposed by Redmon et al. (2018)
- CornerNet model faster than YOLO, proposed by Law et al. (April 18, 2019)
- CenterNet model, faster and more accurate than YOLO proposed by Zhou et al. (April 25, 2019)



#### Methodology of Shared Autonomy Control for Robotic Arm



## **Overall Project Setup**







**Current Setup** 

Previous Setup #1

Previous Setup #2

# Manual Control - Overview



<u>Mode 1:</u> moving the end-effector in the space

<u>Mode 2:</u> keeping the position of the endeffector, rotating it about a point

<u>Mode 3:</u> end-effector's fingers are controlled

Modes are switched through the buttons

Spatial constraints are set to avoid hitting objects nearby (Computer, walls, etc)

TRY100 Megatron 3-axis joystick with two buttons

#### Manual Control – making more intuitive



Control based on Cartesian coordinates (Default)
<u>COUNTER-INTUITIVE</u>



Control based on Spherical Coordinates (Proposed)
<u>INTUITIVE</u>

#### **Control Flowchart of Autonomous Control Mode Implementation**



# **Object recognition – Model Selection**

**PoseCNN** - trained on YCB dataset - over fitted

**DOPE** – trained on FAT dataset - over fitted

**Dense Fusion** – trained on YCB - over fitted

**YOLOv3** – trained on COCO 2017

**CenterNet** – trained on COCO 2017



NVIDIA DGX-1 Deep Learning cluster – 8 Tesla V100 GPUs (available at NURIS)

**O** PyTorch

TensorFlow

# **Object Recognition – Position Estimation**

- Position is calculated by new method of overlaying of the depth image and RGB image
- Center point and boundary box are estimated
- Distance from the camera to the object center box is calculated then is transformed to the robot's frame



Distance estimation and object recognition

# RGB and Depth Image Mapping. Experiment

- Two RGB systems were tested on proposed mapping approach
- Both systems have showed stable object detection and consequent motion

Model	Backbone	Input size	Processing time	Reported AP
YOLO v3	Darknet-53	416x416	$40 \mathrm{ms}$	31.0
CenterNet	DLA-34	$511 \mathrm{x} 511$	$60 \mathrm{ms}$	37.4

#### Table I. Comparison table for YOLOv3 and CenterNet

#### Autonomous Grasping. Relative Transformation

- Three reference frames:
  {C} camera's frame
  {R} robot's frame
  {G} gripper's frame (not shown)
- Four point calibration is performed



## Autonomous Grasping – Occurred Problems



 <u>Occlusion</u> – caused by robot arm

 <u>Solved:</u> In 15 cm range ROS "subscriber" does not receive messages

## Autonomous Grasping – Occurred Problems



- <u>"Jumping" of bounding box</u> caused by occlusion and accuracy of the models
- <u>Solved</u>:
  - Accuracy mistake by applying centroid
  - By sorting objects in each frame

## Autonomous Grasping



## Autonomous Grasping



# Conclusion

- ✓ More intuitive manual control mode was developed
- New approach in robotics for position estimation was introduced
- Experiments on RGB models, YOLOv3 and CenterNet, were performed
- The robot grasps target objects autonomously
- ✓ The worked performed in Git version-control system
- ✓ It is planned to expand the project to include shared autonomy

# Semi-automatic mode – shared autonomy

Completely autonomous system cannot be very intelligent and may discourage the patients and users

Human intention prediction system should be implemented

There are systems where human intention predicted by Hidden Markov model (Khokar *et al*)

Pomegranate Python package could be used to design HMM



Hidden Markov Model Schematic